

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/454,529	HOGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Charles Capellari.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)


Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible double-patenting
Claims discussed:
All pending
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a series of interviews on 3/16/06 and 3/23/06, the examiner informed Mr. Capellari that the only outstanding issue with regard to the pending claims are double-patenting (ODP) over 08/455,990. Possible amendments were discussed and an agreement reached which would obviate the ODP.